

LUPS EUROPEAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT FUND PROGRAMME 2007 - 2013  
INDICATIVE ERDF FINANCIAL ALLOCATIONS BY YEAR (2007 - 2013) - MEURO

Year	Total Funding	ERDF Funding	National Public Funding	% Average
2007	122,378,587	50,570,825	71,807,762	41.323%
2008	124,826,159	51,582,242	73,243,917	41.323%
2009	127,322,681	52,613,886	74,708,795	41.323%
2010	129,869,136	53,666,164	76,202,972	41.323%
2011	132,466,518	54,739,487	77,727,031	41.323%
2012	135,115,849	55,834,277	79,281,572	41.323%
2013	137,818,166	56,950,963	80,867,203	41.323%
2007 - 2013 Total	909,797,097	375,957,844	533,839,253	41.323%

LUPS EUROPEAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT FUND PROGRAMME 2007 - 2013  
INDICATIVE ERDF FINANCIAL ALLOCATIONS BY PRIORITY (2007 - 2013) - MEURO

Priority	Total Funding	ERDF Funding	National Public Funding	EIB	% Average
Priority 1: Research and Innovation	204,688,158	92,109,671	112,578,487	0	45.000%
Priority 2: Enterprise Growth	305,465,748	122,186,299	183,279,449	0	40.000%
Priority 3: Urban Regeneration	253,771,543	101,508,618	152,262,925	0	40.000%
Priority 4: Rural Development	127,825,670	51,130,268	76,695,402	0	40.000%
Priority 5: Technical Assistance	18,045,978	9,022,988	9,022,990	0	50.000%
<b>ERDF Programme Totals</b>	<b>909,797,097</b>	<b>375,957,844</b>	<b>533,839,253</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>41.323%</b>

For the four key priorities of the ERDF Programme, resources have been relatively evenly distributed, as shown in the above table. The rationale for the allocation between these priorities reflects several factors, but broadly, Priorities 1 and 2 have received the majority share of funding because of their focus on region-wide challenges directly related to the Lisbon Agenda while Priorities 3 and 4 jointly have less funding in recognition of their sub-regional focus.

Priority 1 has received nearly a quarter of the funding, reflecting the importance of research and development within the Programme and the role of Scottish Enterprise as a SDB in delivering some of the priority's objectives (as set out in the Implementing Provisions chapter). It has a higher co-financing rate because of the higher risk of RTD and innovation projects and the greater need for Structural Funds to ensure that key projects will be forthcoming.

Priority 2 has the highest share of funding because it covers a range of important, inter-related factors influencing enterprise development in the region. It also takes account of the scope for major activity in support of access to finance and in recognition of the region-wide eligibility of funding.

Priority 3 has a significant share of funding because of the number of significantly-deprived communities which can be eligible for the funding and in recognition of the need for a strong urban dimension to the Programme.

Lastly, Priority 4 has the smallest share of funding because of the more limited number of areas and scope of activity covered by the priority.

The intervention rates in the LUPS Programme have been set to reflect previous ERDF experience and to ensure that the minimum required EU funding will be provided to match-fund projects.